

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,688	03/18/2004	David L. Vesely	1372.143.PRC	2687
21901	7590 10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
SMITH & HOPEN PA 15950 BAY VISTA DRIVE SUITE 220			TSAY, MARSHA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEARWAT	ER, FL 33760		1653	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/708,688	VESELY, DAVID L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Marsha M. Tsay	1653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC. cause the application to become 4	irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in A ty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/01/2004.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Claims 1-10 are pending and under examination.

Priority: The instant application was filed on March 18, 2004. This application claims priority to Provisional application 60/320,018, filed March 19, 2003. The priority date is March 19, 2003.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in section [0045], the term "acclminization" should be changed to "acclimatization".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-10 are objected to because of the following informalities: the claims are improperly numbered. The claims should be numbered sequentially in Arabic numerals in accordance with MPEP 608.01(j). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 5 is objected to because there is no period at the end of the claim statement.

Claim Objections

Claims 9, 10 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 1-4 and 5-8. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/708,688

Art Unit: 1653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of inhibiting the growth of cancer cells, specifically the pancreatic adenocarcinoma cell line CRL-2119, does not reasonably provide enablement for inhibiting the growth of other types of cancer cells. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 1-10 are drawn to a method of inhibiting the growth of cancer cells comprising the step of contacting the cell with peptide hormone derived from the atrial natriuretic peptide prohormone. The claims are specifically drawn to cancer cells chosen from the group consisting of adenocarcinomas, small cell carcinomas and squamous cell carcinoma. The specification discloses the working example of treating pancreatic adenocarcinoma cancer cells from cell line CRL-2119, in culture and in a mouse model, by administering ANP peptides. It is known that cancer treatment is a complex process that involves, among other factors, the type of cancer and the stage of the cancer. While the specification does provide guidance with respect to treating cancer, it is only directed towards a specific type, pancreatic adenocarcinoma of cell line CRL-2119. Wigle et al. (Wigle et al. 1995 Am J Physiol. 258(5 Pt 2) :H1869-74) teach

Application/Control Number: 10/708,688

Art Unit: 1653

the secretion of ANP from small cell lung cancer lines, OS-A and SHP-77. Wigle et al. investigated the secretion of ANP from a number of established lung cancer cell lines and have identified OS-A and SHP-77 as releasing a significant quantity of ANP into the culture medium (p. H1869). Both forms of ANP (aa1-126) and ANP (aa99-126) were detected, therefore SCLC cell lines appear to secrete ANP (aa99-126), the known biologically active, circulating form secreted from the atrial tissue. Wigle et al. teach SCLC cells as stably secreting ANP, while also rapidly proliferating in culture (p. H1873). From these results, it is not clear if ANP peptides can be enabled as an anticancer agent for the cancer groups chosen by the Applicant. The ANP peptides may be enabled as a method of inhibiting the growth of pancreatic adenocarcinoma CRL-2119 cells, but it is not definite or clear if it can be used as an agent to treat other types of adenocarcinomas, small cell carcinomas, and squamous cell carcinomas.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4-5, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 5, 9-10 are drawn to a target cell. The claims are indefinite because they do not properly define what a target cell is. Claims 9-10 disclose examples of what a target cell can be, but there is no clear and concise definition as to what it is.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,688

Art Unit: 1653

Claim 4 is dependent on Claim 1. However, it lacks antecedent basis in Claim 1 because Claim 1 is only drawn to cells.

Page 5

Claim 8 is dependent on Claim 1. However, it lacks antecedent basis in Claim 5 because Claim 5 is only drawn to cells.

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marsha M. Tsay whose telephone number is 571-272-2938. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Jon Weber can be reached on 571-272-0925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karer (de hans (at back D)

KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 12, 2004